ED296817 1988-01-00 Exploring Alternatives to Consolidation. ERIC Digest.

ERIC Development Team

www.eric.ed.gov

Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

Exploring Alternatives to C	Consolidation.	ERIC Digest 1
-----------------------------	----------------	---------------



ERIC Identifier: ED296817 Publication Date: 1988-01-00 Author: Rincones, Rodolfo Source: ERIC Clearinghouse on Rural Education and Small Schools Las Cruces NM. Exploring Alternatives to Consolidation. ERIC Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

TEXT: School reorganization has been used extensively as a strategy to deal with the problems of small and rural schools. However, there is no comprehensive information to prove that consolidation has met the problems for which it has been advocated--those of finance, staff, facilities, and curriculum. Reorganization has, in fact, not succeeded in several districts due to geographical limitations or to the fact that in some communities the environment of the small school is preferred (Edington, 1976). Consequently, attention should be given to alternative strategies for school reorganization which could bring quality education to students living in sparsely populated areas.

ED296817 1988-01-00 Exploring Alternatives to Consolidation. ERIC Digest.



WHY ARE ALTERNATIVES TO SCHOOL REORGANIZATION NEEDED?

Schools are basic elements in rural and small communities. They are not only places where children receive education and interact with youngsters from different religious and socioeconomic backgrounds, but they also serve as community centers. In many cases, because of a large payroll, schools often provide an important economic base for the community. As a result, the school generates involvement and enjoys a great deal of support from members of the community (Jess, 1984).

Even though disagreement exists over the social and economic effects that a school closure may cause, it is commonly acknowledged that, after consolidation, regret and a feeling of frustration among members of the community will persist. Some studies have asserted that, after a school closure, out-migration, population decline and neighborhood deterioration are set in motion, and support for public education diminishes (Andrews and others, 1974).

Although school consolidation has often been seen as a cure for the problems faced by small schools, consolidation's disadvantages and ill-effects on the community have not usually been considered, and residents' objections have frequently been ignored (Monk and Haller, 1986). There are several alternatives to full consolidation which can help to ameliorate this situation.

WHAT ARE SOME FORMS OF PARTIAL SCHOOL REORGANIZATION?

Partial school reorganization is one alternative to complete reorganization. It allows a middle-of-the-road response to problems originated by decreasing enrollments, tight budgets, and increased federal and state demands to provide students living in isolated areas equal access to education. It provides an organizational structure, and assumes several forms which--if the restructuring is found inappropriate--can readily be changed back to the preexisting situation. Three types of partial reorganization follow.

CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Central or regional high school districts arise when two or more school districts combine their high school programs and retain separate elementary programs.

Some of the advantages of this strategy are as follows (Monk and Haller, 1986):

--It facilitates reorganization where objections to consolidation are strong. --It is directed toward the most problematic level of the school: the secondary level. --Parents can continue to control elementary education, which is of most concern to them. --Only older students are bused.

CLUSTER DISTRICTS



Cluster or union districts involve the sharing and provision of services by separate neighboring school districts, with certain academic programs thereby being made accessible to the students of different schools. Clustering can be implemented in a wide variety of settings and can address a range of needs. It usually involves more organization than is the case for other types of shared services and is initiated by local school boards. The local boards may, for example, select a superintendent who spends some time in one district and some time in another. Students from one district may be sent temporarily to another school for specific activities. Clusters have been formed around science programs and materials, microcomputers, staff development, and in-service for administrators.

EXCHANGE OF STUDENTS FOR TUITION

This practice consists of sending high school students to neighboring school districts or even across state lines. Monk and Haller (1986) have concluded that this practice can be facilitated through state intervention by tying the tuition a receiving district charges to the difference between its costs and the increased level of state aid the higher enrollment generates. The advantage for small districts is that they avoid the cost of operating their own high school, and, depending on the wealth of their neighboring community, the tuition cost can be relatively modest.

WHAT ARE OTHER TYPES OF SHARED SERVICES AND RESOURCES?

Neighboring school districts may, on a formal or informal basis, agree to share personnel, programs, and equipment to provide needed services to students. Sharing allows school districts to remain separate while gaining additional curricular programs of higher quality. It also lets the community keep its own high schools and consequently its own identity and vitality. Through shared services, a comprehensive educational program can be made available even though the school is not very comprehensive in its offerings (Hanuske, 1983). Instructional materials, teachers, equipment, ancillary services, transportation, staff development, counseling services, special education, and vocational education can be shared.

Some of the advantages of sharing have been identified as follows (Hanuske, 1983):

--Program offerings can be secured and often expanded. --A balanced faculty is maintained and the academic expertise increases. --It enables schools to comply with federal mandates. --Transportation facilities can be shared. --Expenditures can be decreased through joint purchasing. --It increases community cooperation and support, a sense of local autonomy, teacher retention, and school district stability.

Two forms of sharing are sharing through a state organizational structure and voluntary inter-district sharing.

SHARING THROUGH A STATE ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

ED296817 1988-01-00 Exploring Alternatives to Consolidation. ERIC Digest.



This type of sharing generally includes a special intermediary system mediated through what are often called educational service agencies, educational operatives, boards of cooperative educational services (BOCES), and regional consortia (Brodinsky, 1981). In most cases, these agencies are mandated, approved and directed by the state departments of education. The function of such intermediaries is to facilitate the sharing of services and resources among school districts.

These agencies may have two functions. The educational function provides the mechanism whereby two or more school districts can share specialized courses, teachers, support staff, or instructional materials. The non-academic function facilitates the sharing of services such as purchasing, warehousing, or data processing.

VOLUNTARY INTER-DISTRICT SHARING

This is a voluntary arrangement made by two or more school districts to share services, programs, or resources. The less formalized structure of this arrangement enables the school to maintain its identity and autonomy. An intermediary agency is not involved and this form of sharing differs from cluster districts in that resources and services are shifted from school to school, instead of students being brought to central learning places.

WHAT ARE SOME OTHER HELPFUL APPROACHES?

Some strategies can be implemented at the local level with state help to design reorganization alternatives suited to local conditions. These are appropriate when partial school reorganization cannot be implemented due to economic or geographic reasons.

--State intervention and state financial aid. State intervention in school districts can take several forms, as described by Monk and Haller (1986). They indicate that the state could intervene through a "counting votes system" that would reflect the feeling of each individual community involved in the process of reorganization.

Another intervention strategy is to design a system for informing the public, prior to a reorganization effort, about how the new governing board will be integrated, and the new school structured.

Monk and Haller also suggest creating, at the state level, special "necessity aid" to be included in the operating formula. These funds would be given to school districts operating under special economic circumstances, so that consolidation would not have to occur.

--Multiple teacher certification. Observers have suggested that certification standards for those teachers working in small and rural schools be changed to provide for a more general preparation, or certification in a number of areas (Gardener and Edington, 1982). Multiple certification would have the advantages of an increased retention of

teachers and reduction of the teacher shortage by increasing the number of subjects a single teacher could teach. The greater the versatility of teachers, the less likelihood there is that reorganization would be implemented for reasons of curriculum enhancement or concerns over quality. Efforts have already been made to implement programs of dual certification in elementary and special education (Steinmiller and Bell, 1986).

--Application of computer modeling results. This technique has been recently applied to simulate the effects of different population trends, financial factors, and other elements on the school district. The simulation allows the decisionmakers to make use of all the information available in the district and be able to plan ahead for needed changes. In particular, the Multiple Alternatives Model (MAM) was developed to evaluate schools for the potential benefits to the district of temporary versus permanent closure (Wholeben, 1984).

--Community designed reorganizations. This design calls for a wide participation of the community in the process of deciding the governance and structure of a school district before any referendum for consolidation is passed. This approach may provide flexibility for local boards to design organizational structures which meet local needs.

One way to provide this kind of participation is forming "Planning and Transition Boards" (Monk and Haller, 1986). These boards are formed by a majority vote in the participating communities. If board formation is then approved, the next step is to appoint members to it. The board is then responsible for formulating the plan for the new structure as well as for presenting it to the voters. Once the plan is approved, the board takes action to implement it. If the plan is disapproved, the board is dissolved and the districts involved remain separate.

HOW IS EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY AN ALTERNATIVE?

Often called distance education, educational technology comprises an alternative strategy for offering instruction. The learning takes place when the student is physically remote from the instructor or learns primarily from materials developed elsewhere. Educational technology makes it possible for small schools to have access to a broader range of information and curricular offerings, so that learning opportunities can be expanded without a need for consolidation. The most common options combine audioteleconference with microcomputers, or interactive television which integrates satellite, fiberoptics, microwave, cable, slowscan TV, or instructional television fixed services (ITFS) technologies (Barker, 1987).

SUMMARY

Different alternative strategies are available for local school boards and school administrators to use to solve the problems of rural and small school districts without

ED296817 1988-01-00 Exploring Alternatives to Consolidation. ERIC Digest.

resorting to full-scale reorganization. These approaches enable communities to retain the advantages of smallness while providing a quality education for their children.

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Andrews, Richard L., and Others. "Managing Contracting Systems: Three Policy Alternatives." Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the American Educational Research Association, New York, NY, March 1982. ED 221 947.

Barker, Bruce O. INTERACTIVE DISTANCE LEARNING TECHNOLOGIES FOR RURAL AND SMALL SCHOOLS: A RESOURCE GUIDE. ERIC Mini-Review. Las Cruces, NM: ERIC Clearinghouse on Rural Education and Small Schools, 1987. ED 286 698.

Brodinsky, Ben. DECLINING ENROLLMENT-CLOSING SCHOOLS: PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS. Arlington, VA: American Association of School Administration Critical Report. 1981. ED 212 053.

Edington, Everett D. STRENGTHENING THE SMALL RURAL SCHOOL. Las Cruces, NM: ERIC Clearinghouse on Rural Education and Small Schools, 1976. ED 115 408.

Gardener, Clark, and Everett D. Edington. THE PREPARATION AND CERTIFICATION OF TEACHERS FOR RURAL AND SMALL SCHOOLS. Las Cruces, NM: ERIC Clearinghouse on Rural Education and Small Schools, 1982. ED 223 396.

Hanuske, Sarah. SHARED SERVICES FOR RURAL AND SMALL SCHOOLS. Las Cruces, NM: ERIC Clearinghouse on Rural Education and Small Schools, 1983. ED 259 874.

Jess, James D. "Rural Education 1984: Issues and Impacting Forces. A Local Perspective." Paper presented at the National Conference on Building Partnerships for Quality Education in Rural America. Washington, DC, 1984. ED 251 253.

Monk, David H., and Emil J. Haller. ORGANIZATIONAL ALTERNATIVES FOR SMALL/RURAL SCHOOLS: FINAL REPORT TO THE NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATURE. New York, NY: Cornell University, 1986. ED 281 694.

Steinmiller, Georgine, and David Bell. A TIMELY OPTION FOR RURAL EDUCATION: DUAL CERTIFICATION FOR SPECIAL/ELEMENTARY EDUCATION. Columbia, MO: Mid-American ATE, Mini-Clinic, 1986. ED 277 529.

Wholeben, Brent. "Modeling Elementary and Secondary Schools Closures through MAM." Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the International Society for Educational Planning. New Orleans, LA. 1984. ED 254 919.

This publication was prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, under OERI contract. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of OERI or the Department of Education.

Title: Exploring Alternatives to Consolidation. ERIC Digest.

Note: Because this Digest was published in colored ink, on colored paper, and in reduced type size (for compression), a full-size typescript version has also been included here.

Document Type: Viewpoints (120); Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs) (071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

Target Audience: Policymakers, Administrators, Community, Practitioners **Descriptors:** Centralization, Community Benefits, Consolidated Schools, Educational Technology, Elementary Secondary Education, High Schools, Interdistrict Policies, Rural Schools, School District Reorganization, School District Size, Shared Facilities, Shared Resources and Services, Small Schools, State Action, Student Exchange Programs

Identifiers: Alternatives to Consolidation, Cluster Districts, ERIC Digests ###

[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page]